

रजिस्टर्ड डाक ए.डी. द्वारा

1294 - 94 फाइल संख्या File No : <u>GAPPL/ADC/GSTP/3574/2023 - APPEAL</u> क

- अपील आदेश संख्या Order-In-Appeal Nos. AHM-CGST-001-APP-JC- 206 /2023-24 ख दिनांक Date :08.01.2024 जारी करने की तारीख Date of Issue : 09.01.2024 श्री आदेश कुमार जैन संयुक्त आयुक्त (अपील) द्वारा पारित Passed by Shri Adesh Kumar Jain, Joint Commissioner (Appeals)
- Arising out of Order-in-Original No. ZA241222052586Q dated 12.12.2022 issued by The ग Superintendent, CGST Ahmedabad.
- अपीलकर्ता का नाम एवं पता Name & Address of the Appellant / Respondent घ

	Appellant	Respondent	
B/	s Crystal Dye Chem, 202,Soham Enclave, Sukan Cross oad, Ahmedabad, Gujarat,382350	The Superintendent, CGST Ahmedabad	
(A)	इस आदेश(अपील) से व्यथित कोई व्यक्ति निम्त प्राधिकरण के समक्ष अपील दायर कर सकता Any person aggrieved by this Order-in-Appeal way.		following
_(i)	National Bench or Regional Bench of Appella one of the issues involved relates to place of s	te Tribunal framed under GST Act/CGST Act in the cas supply as per Section 109(5) of CGST Act, 2017.	es where
(ii)	State Bench or Area Bench of Appellate Tribunal framed under GST Act/CGST Act other than as mentioned in para- (A)(i) above in terms of Section 109(7) of CGST Act, 2017		
(111)	Appeal to the Appellate Tribunal shall be filed accompanied with a fee of Rs. One Thousand difference in Tax or Input Tax Credit involved appealed against, subject to a maximum of Rs	d as prescribed under Rule 110 of CGST Rules, 2017 an for every Rs. One Lakh of Tax or Input Tax Credit involv d or the amount of fine, fee or penalty determined in s. Twenty-Five Thousand.	d shail be ved or the the order
(B)	Appeal under Section 112(1) of CGST Act, documents either electronically or as may be 05, on common portal as prescribed under Ru of the order appealed against within seven da	2017 to Appellate Tribunal shall be filed along with notified by the Registrar, Appellate Tribunal in FORM ile 110 of CGST Rules, 2017, and shall be accompanied ays of filing FORM GST APL-05 online.	GST APL- by a copy
(i)	<ul> <li>Appeal to be filed before Appellate Tribunal under Section 112(8) of the CGST Act, 2017 after paying -         <ul> <li>(i) Full amount of Tax, Interest, Fine, Fee and Penalty arising from the impugned order, as is admitted/accepted by the appellant, and</li> <li>(ii) A sum equal to twenty five per cent of the remaining amount of Tax in dispute, in addition to the amount paid under Section 107(6) of CGST Act, 2017, arising from the said order, in relation to which the appeal has been filed.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		
(îì)	The Central Goods & Service Tax ( Ninth Ren that the appeal to tribunal can be made wit	noval of Difficulties) Order, 2019 dated 03.12.2019 has hin three months from the date of communication of resident, as the case may be, of the Appellate Tribu	f Order or
(C)	उच्च अपीलीय प्राधिकारी को अपील दाखिल लिए, अपीलार्थी विभागीय वेबसाइटwww.cbic.g	करने से संबंधित व्यापक, विस्तृत और नवीनतम प्रा ov.in को देख सकते हैं।	वधानों के
	Pappellant may refer to the website www.cbi	ns relating to filing of appeal to the appellate auth <u>c.gov.in</u> .	ority, the
	No Participanti de la companya de la	v	

# ORDER IN APPEAL

### Brief Facts of the Case :-

\*\*\*

4

1

4.4.

ĩ

-1 ... - " ...

i. Y This appeal has been filed under Section 107 of the Central Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017 (hereinafter referred to as "the Act") by **M/s. Crystal Dye Chem,** B/202, Soham Enclave, Sukan Cross Road, Ahmedabad, Gujarat – 382350 (hereinafter referred to as "Appellant") against the Order No. ZA241222052586Q dated 12.12.2022 (hereinafter referred to as "Impugned Order") passed by the Superintendent, CGST, Ahmedabad (hereinafter referred to as "the Adjudicating Authority/Proper Officer").

2. Brief facts of the case are that the *appellant* is registered under the Central Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017 vide GST Registration GSTIN 24AAOFC3625M1Z8. A Show Cause Notice dated 08.11.2022 was issued to the appellant, wherein it was proposed that registration is liable to be cancelled for the reasons of failure to furnish returns for a continuous period of six months. Thereafter, the registration was cancelled vide *impugned order* for the reasons "The TP has not responded the query. You are requested to file GSTR-1, 3B and 9 at 10 Returns within stipulated period. Further, if any liability arises in future for period, you are liable to pay the same. Cancellation process initiated as per Section 29(1). TP has failure to furnish returns for a continuous period of six months. GSTIN suspended from 08.11.22." The registration is cancelled with effect from 08.11.2022.

3. Being aggrieved with the *impugned order* dated 12.12.2022 the *appellant* has preferred the present appeal on 06.12.2023. In the appeal memo the appellant has submitted that –

(a) The learned AI has erred both on facts and in law by passing the order for Rejection of Revocation application, on account of non furnishing of supporting documents, although they were not in possessession of any sales and purchase documents;

(b) Due to COVID-19 scenario they did not have any business activity and also they were not aware of GST compliance; Due to inadvertent mistake by their accountant forgot to file their GST returns.

(c) With the above submissions, the appellant has requested to accept their appeal and revoke cancellation of their registration.

4. Personal Hearing in the matter was scheduled on 14.12.2023, 19.12.2023 and 04.01.2024. Shri Asit R Oza, Tax Consultant appeared before me on 04.01.2024 as authorized representative on behalf of the appellant and requested to condone the delay in filing appeal and assured that they will pay

1

. \*

all dues and requested to allow their appeal. Accordingly, I proceed further in deciding the case.

## DISCISSIONS & FINDINGS

5. I have carefully gone through the facts of the case and appeal memorandum/grounds of appeal. In the instant matter the present appeal is filed by appellant on 06.12.2023 against the Order-in-Original dated 12.12.2022. Therefore, first of all, I would like to take up the issue of filing the appeal and before deciding the issue of filing the appeal or merits, it is imperative that the statutory provisions be gone through which are reproduced, below:

**SECTION 107.** Appeals to Appellate Authority. — (1) Any person aggrieved by any decision or order passed under this Act or the State Goods and Services Tax Act or the Union Territory Goods and Services Tax Act by an adjudicating authority may appeal to such Appellate Authority as may be prescribed within three months from the date on which the said decision or order is communicated to such person.

(2) ...,.....

(3) .....

(4) The Appellate Authority may, if he is satisfied that the appellant was prevented by sufficient cause from presenting the appeal within the aforesaid period of three months or six months, as the case may be, allow it to be presented within a *further period of one month*.

6. I observed that in the instant case that as against the *impugned order* of dated 12.12.2022, the appeal has been filed on 00.12.2023 i.e. appeal filed by delay from the normal period prescribed inder Section 107(1) of the CGST Act, 2017. I find that though the delay in filing the appeal is condonable only for a further period of one month provided that the *appellant* was prevented by sufficient cause from presenting the appeal is shown and the delay of more than one month is not condonable under the provisions of sub section (4) of Section 107 of the Central Goods and Service Tax Act, 2017.

7. In the present matter, the "impugned order" and date of communication of order is of 12.12.2022 so, the normal appeal period of three months was available up to 11.03.2023 whereas, the present appeal is filed on 06.12.23. Accordingly, in view of foregoing I find that the present appeal is filed beyond the time limit as prescribed under Section 107(1) of the CGST Act, 2017. Further, looking to the provisions of condonation of delay, I observed that even after condoning delay of filing of appeal for a further period of one month as per provisions of sub section (4) of Section 107 of the CGST Act, 2017 the last date for filing of

2

?

appeal comes on 11.04.2023, whereas the present appeal is filed on 06.12.2023.

1. n. 1.

8. In view of foregoing, I find that the present appeal is filed beyond the time limit prescribed under the provisions of Section 107 of the CGST Act, 2017. Accordingly, I find that the further proceedings in case of present appeal can be taken up for consideration strictly as per the provisions contained in the CGST Act, 2017.

9. I find that this appellate authority is a creature of the statute and has to act as per the provisions contained in the CGST Act. This appellate authority, therefore, cannot condone delay beyond the period permissible under the CGST Act. When the legislature has intended the appellate authority to entertain the appeal by condoning further delay of only one month, this appellate authority cannot go beyond the power vested by the legislature. My views are supported by the following case laws:

(i) The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Singh Enterprises reported as 2008 (221) E.L.T.163 (S.C.) has held as under:

"8. ...The proviso to sub-section (1) of Section 35 makes the position crystal clear that the appellate authority has no power to allow the appeal to be presented beyond the period of 30 days. The language used makes the position clear that the legislature intended the appellate authority to entertain the appeal by condoning delay only upto 30 days after the expiry of 60 days which is the normal period for preferring appeal. Therefore, there is complete exclusion of Section 5 of the Limitation Act. The Commissioner and the High Court were therefore justified in holding that there was no power to condone the delay after the expiry of 30 days period."

 (ii) In the case of Makjai Laboratories Pvt Ltd reported as 2011 (274) E.L.T.
 48 (Bom.), the Hon'ble Bombay High Court held that the Commissioner (Appeals) cannot condone delay beyond further period of 30 days from initial period of 60 days and that provisions of Limitation Act, 1963 is not applicable in such cases as Commissioner (Appeals) is not a Court.

(iii) The Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in the case of Delta Impex reported as 2004 (173) E.L.T. 449 (Del) held that the Appellate authority has no

3

jurisdiction to extend limitation even in a "suitable" case for a further period of more than thirty days.

10. I find that the provisions of Section 107 of the Central Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017 are *pari materia* with the provisions of Section 85 of the Finance Act, 1994 and Section 35 of the Central Excise Act, 1944 and hence. the above judgments would be squarely applicable to the present appeal also.

11. By following the above judgments, I hold that this appellate authority cannot condone delay beyond further period of one month as prescribed under proviso to Section 107(4) of the Act. Thus, the appeal filed by the *appellant* is required to be dismissed on the grounds of limitation as not filed within the prescribed time limit in terms of the provisions of Section 107 of the CGST Act, 2017. I, accordingly, dismiss the present appeal.

12. अपीलकर्ता द्वारा दर्ज की गई अपील का निपटारा उपरोक्त नरीके से किया जाता है। The appeal filed by the appellant stands disposed of in above terms.

(Adesh Kumar Jain) Joint Commissioner (Appeals)

Date:

Taios

// Attested //

ala (Vijayalakshmi V)

Superintendent (Appeals) Central Tax, Ahmedabad.

### By R.P.A.D.

## То

M/s. Crystal Dye Chem B/202, Soham Enclave, Sukan Cross Road Ahmedabad, Gujarat 382 350

### Copy to:

1. The Principal Chief Commissioner of Central Tax, Ahmedabad Zone.

- 2. The Commissioner, CGST & C. Ex., Appeals, Ahmedabad.
- 3. The Commissioner, CGST & C. Ex., Ahmedabad-South.
- 4. The Dy/Asstt. Commissioner, CGST, Division-V, Ahmedabad South.
- 5. The Superintendent (Systems), CGST Appeals, Ahmedabad.
- 6. Guard File.

7. P.A. File

V /

.01.2024

\*

